



BRILL



brill.com/ic

Ubykh Personal Names

Viacheslav A. Chirikba | ORCID: 0000-0001-8967-2767

Institute of Linguistics, Moscow, Russian Federation; Abkhaz Institute
for Humanitarian Research, Sukhum, Republic of Abkhazia
chirikba@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper presents a survey of the system of Ubykh personal names. The traditional structure of Ubykh names was binary, consisting of a surname and a postposed personal name. Alternative structures included a preposed family name plus two or more personal names, or a surname, plus a patronymic, plus a personal name. Besides a few native Ubykh names, the majority of names are “Oriental” (Turkish/Turkic, Arabic, Jewish, Persian, etc.), Circassian or Abkhazian, or of unclear origin. There are also hybrid names combining names or formants of different languages.

Keywords

Ubykhs – the Ubykh language – Ubykh anthroponymy – Ubykh names – Ubykh surnames

1 Ubykh Personal Names: Sources

1.1 *The Ubykh People*

Ubykhs were a small indigenous people of the Caucasian Black Sea coast where they lived for millennia. It is assumed that their ancestors were known to the Byzantines under the name of *Brukhoi*, which some authors see as the Greek attempt to render the Ubykh self-name *tʷaxá*. The Ubykhs' closest ethnic kin are Abkhazians and Circassians. Being a warrior nation, the Ubykhs took an uncompromising stance in the struggle against the Russian advance to their lands in the middle of the 19th century, which sealed their fate, leading to a wholesale deportation by the Tsarist government of the entire Ubykh nation in 1864 to Ottoman Turkey. Scattered over the vast territories of Anato-

lia, small Ubykh groups lost contact with each other, being surrounded by other populations—Circassians, Abkhazians, Turks, Kurds, Laz, Greeks or Armenians, and within the 150 years that followed their exodus they lost their mother-tongue. The last fully competent speaker of Ubykh, the now famous Tevfik Esenç, died in 1992, and with him died the whole universe of the unique Ubykh language.

1.2 *The Sources for Ubykh Names' Corpus*

In the absence of ancient written documents in the Ubykh language, onomastics, in particular, anthroponymy, is of obvious historical interest, since it may contain old forms lost by the modern language. In addition, it is interesting to analyze the Ubykh anthroponymic repertoire from the point of view of its comparison with similar inventories of the related peoples.

While compiling a corpus of Ubykh personal names, I used various sources, the most important of which are the works by J.S. Bell, A. Dirr, J. Mészáros, G. Dumézil, H. Vogt, G. Charashidzé, L. Lavrov and some other authors. In particular, many Ubykh personal names and surnames are to be found in the Ubykh-German dictionary by J. von Mészáros (1934). A separate list of Ubykh names is given in the Ubykh-French dictionary by H. Vogt (1963). Especially valuable for the subject-matter are the works by the famous French philologist Georges Dumézil, which provide the phonetically exact forms of the Ubykh names, the correction of the erroneous spelling of names in works by other authors, and in some cases the etymological analysis of names, including the indication of the source of the borrowed items (see especially DA III). Ubykh names and surnames according to Russian sources are found in the monographs on the Ubykhs by Leonid Lavrov (1937/2009) and by the Abkhazian ethnologist Shalva Inal-ipa (2015: 183–187) in his book dedicated to the Ubykhs. The Ubykh anthroponymic material is contained in the biographical dictionary of political and cultural figures of Ubykh origin, mainly in Turkey, by the Turkish-Ubykh historian Sefer Berzeg (2013). A considerable amount of Ubykh onomastic material was collected during my linguistic expeditions to Turkey between 1991 and 2010, which resulted in the “Dictionary of Ubykh Personal Names” (see Chirikba 2016).

An interesting five-page handwritten list of Ubykh names was kindly handed to me by a native Ubykh from Karlı (province Samsun) Ertekin Işcan of the Ubykh *Tʷəbe* clan. A rich source of most interesting onomastic and demographic material, including information about the Ubykh names both in the Caucasian homeland and in the first decades after the Ubykhs moved to Turkey, are the so-called *defters*, i.e., village registry books in which villagers are registered as to their names and surnames, profession, the place and the year of their

birth, the names of their fathers and mothers, wives and husbands, children and grandchildren. I managed to make photocopies of two of such books: in the village of Çınarlı in the Gönen district of the Balıkesir province, and in the village of Uzuntarla of the Kartepe district of the Kocaeli province. Although the bulk of the names contained in *defters* are “Muslim” or Turkish names, the names of Caucasian origin are also to be found there.

Besides the *defters*, another interesting written source of the Ubykh anthroponymic is represented by the genealogical charts (or trees) of some of the Ubykh families. Examples of such fairly extensive genealogical charts are the genealogy of members of the Gwagián clan belonging to Özkan Gwagián (Turk. Özkan Gögen) from the village of Karalarçiftliği, the genealogy of Melik İlhan Jude (*Zwade*) from the village of Yanık, the genealogy of Bilgi Berzeg from the village of Maşukiye et al., which their owners kindly allowed me to photograph and whose materials I used in this paper. See also a number of old names and the genealogical tables of the branches of the Berzeg clan attached to the book by A. Landmann (1981). Interesting sources of names are also various archival documents, such as, for example, НОК and НОКІ, which I was kindly allowed to copy, as well as other archival, mostly 19th-century Russian documents.

A common problem with non-linguistic publications and handwritten documents (*defters*, genealogies, archival materials, manuscripts, etc.) is the phonetically inaccurate transmission of Ubykh names of Caucasian origin recorded in Russian or Roman alphabets. The exact pronunciation of names taken from non-linguistic sources can be to a certain extent verified and corrected with the help of competent experts in Ubykh realities and everyday life (natives of Ubykh villages) who still speak the Adyghe language. In this paper some of these names, if it was not possible to clarify their exact Ubykh or Circassian pronunciation, are presented in Turkish or Russian transcription.

2 Composition of Ubykh Personal Names

Ubykh personal names can be divided into two groups according to their origin: native and borrowed. Very few native Ubykh names have come down to us, a major part of names are acquired from other languages. They belong to the following groups: (a) “oriental” names (Turkish/Turkic, Arabic, Jewish, Persian, etc.); (b) Circassian names (mainly Adyghe); (c) Abkhazian names. A separate group (d) contains names of unclear origin. There are also hybrid names that consist of a combination of primordial and borrowed elements.

Most of the “oriental” personal names, regardless of their Turkish, Arabic, Persian or Jewish origin, came to Ubykhia mainly starting from the 15th century

from Ottoman Turkey and often reflect the Turkish pronunciation. A smaller part of the eastern names are of Northern Turkic (Kipchak) origin and came from neighbouring Turkic peoples (Crimean Tatars, Karachays, Nogais). Many such names (both Turkish and Turkic) entered the Ubykh language through the medium of Adyghe.

Circassian names in Ubykh are mainly of an Adyghe (viz. West Circassian, largely Shapsygh or Abadzekh) source. The majority of the Ubykh population were bilingual and had a good command of the Adyghe language, while more to the south-east Ubykhs also knew the Abkhaz language.

As a rule, the Circassian names in Ubykh have an Adyghe (i.e. West-Circassian) appearance. The Ubykhs actually spoke a variety of Adyghe, which is qualified by some authors as a special “Ubykh” dialect, though it was rather close to the Shapsygh dialect; cf. on this Smeets (1988) and recently Bifov (2017).

2.1 Primordial Ubykh Personal Names

Personal names based on the original Ubykh language material have come down to us in a very limited amount. Here is the almost exhaustive list: *Blag^ɣwa* (‘blind’), *Dəɣw* (‘mouse’), *K’ja:b^ɣə-š^wá* (‘wolf cub’), *lǎps^waw*, *Məzə-š^wə* (‘little boy’), *Nak’jana.ɣ^w*, *Nan* (‘mother’), *P’ap’ə-ž^w*, *P’ap’əš^wk^wa*, *Q^wáq^wə-š^w*, *S^wá-q^wa* (‘white son’ or ‘son of the white’), *S^wás^wa-q^wa*, *Šax^wmasáč’ja*, *T’əɣ^wrəɣ^w* (‘owl’), *Žəx* ‘Abkhaz or Abadzekh’.

In addition, a number of other names can also be Ubykh in origin, if their Adyghe or other etymology is not proven; cf. such names as *K^wač’áj*, *Kúsh^t*, *K^wa.rc’jəč’j*, *Q^wač’ie*, *Samp*, *Sevtás’i//Sotáč’i*, *Šas* (f.), *Vordežoko*, *X^wəč’i*, *X^wəláč’i*, *Zəzák^w*, *Z’əp’ət’* and others.

Structurally, native names are simple or derived. Some of the latter have attached the diminutive suffix -š^w(ə), the others are augmented by the formant -ž^w (from the adjective ž^wə ‘old’) or the patronymic formant q^wa ‘son’.

2.2 Borrowed Names

2.2.1. Names of Adyghe origin: *Afäsəž’i*, *C’ək^w*, *Dəs’áq^w*, *G^wəmzay*, *Hanašx^w*, *Mazəl’*, *Naršu*, *Pá:č’ia*, *Paq^wazad*, *Penef*, *Pš’ama:f*, *Qarax^w*, *S’awaj*, *S’awlax^w*, *S’áwl’əx^w*, *Təɣ^wáz’i*, *Xaplázi*, *Zafás*, *C’ək^w-ded* (f.), *Fəž’i* (f.), *Goš’anás* (f.), *Goš’efəž’i* (f.), *Pa:qa* (f.), etc.

2.2.2. Abkhazian names: *K’ac* (from Georgian *k’ac-i* ‘man’), *K^wəč’jəš’i* (‘chicken’), *X’ərpəs’j* (‘golden guy’), in Ubykh folklore: *G^wənda Pš’za* (‘Gunda the beautiful’), *Q^waz’arpás’i* (‘Khuazh-guy’).

2.2.3. Arabic names: *Ahmet*, *Ali//Allá*, *Alxás*, *Azamat*, *Bekir*, *Bereket*, *Hasan*, *Islám*, *K’jarám*, *Mahmút*, *Mamsər*, *Mehmét*, *Murad*, *Muham-*

mad, Məstafá, Omar, Osman, Rasám, Rešát, Sabít, Selím, Smel, Xwəsán, Hanəfa (f.), *Nazira* (f.), *Rana* (f.), etc.

2.2.4. Turkish/Turkic names: *Ajbérk, Ajdemir, Ajtek, Arslan, Biarslan, Edik, Elbuz, Kʷəšʹəkʷ, Talusten*, etc.

2.2.5. Hebrew names: *Dawát, Iļjas, Isák, Ismail, Jakup, Zakarija*, etc.

2.2.6. Persian/Iranian names: *Abragʹ, Anzaur*,¹ *Qaspəwlat, Nesrin* (f.), *Nesteren* (f.), etc.

2.3 Name Combinations

Some names are a combination of two or even three names. Cf. double names: *Ahmet Alḫás, Ali-Ahmet, Alḫás Iļjas, Ažʹjar-Osman, Gok Abdulla, Ibrahim-Musa, Mahamat Talə, Pšʹəmaf-i-Dzuk, Sejəd-Ali, Təγʷəzʹ Ahmet, Žʹiamál Kʹaazám, Žʹəx Mustafa, Dəγʷ Dədarəqʷa*. Cf. also triple names: *Kučʹuk-Hažʹə-Mehmet, Ažʹianta Çerkez Memet-bej, Hažʹəzade Osman lacarəqʷ, Kučʹuk-Hažʹə-Mehmet*.

2.4 Hybrid Names

Among the Ubykh names one can encounter combinations of names or formants belonging to different languages. Compare, for example, such Arabic-Turkic hybrids as *Bej-Islam, Hažʹə-bej, Hafəz-bej, Qal-bačʹ, Hažʹə-ḫan*; Persian-Turkic: *Mirza-kan, Mirza-han, Žʹian-Bulat*; Arabic-Circassian: *Ali-Cʹəkʷ, Təγʷəzʹ Ahmet* ('Wolf Ahmet'), *Zeləkʷ Memet, Zešipliko Mustafa*; Arabic-Adyghe-Turkic: *Hažʹə Bažʹe Bej* (*bažʹe* 'fox' in Abadzekh Adyghe); Turkic-Adyghe: *Qaré.zʹ, Temrə-qʷ, Aslanə-qʷ* (Adyghe *-zʹ* 'old', *-qʷe*, Ubykh *-qʷa* 'son'); Ubykh-foreign names: *Žʹəḫ Mustafa* ('Abadzekh M.'), *Dəγʷ Dədarəqʷa* ('Mouse D.'), etc.

2.5 Typical Name Formants

Ubykh personal names contain various formants of both native and foreign origin. The original formants are the diminutive suffix *-šʷ(ə)* (*Kʹʹa:bʹə-šʷə, Məzə-šʷ, Qʷəqʷə-šʷ, Kʹʹarəmə-šʷ*) and the suffix *-žʷ*, which goes back to the adjective *žʷ(ə)* 'old' (*Xasana-žʷ, Pʹapʹə-žʷ, Xatəqʷə-žʷ, Bore-žʷ, Saqʹənə-žʷ*). Formant *qʷa* 'son', present in many male names, can be either of Ubykh or Adyghe origin (*Anzaurə-qʷa, Bəlsʹə-qʷ, Alaḡʹə-qʷ, Dədarə-qʷa, Dəsʹə-qʷ, Jalamsʹə-qʷ, Daḡʷəmə-qʷa* and others). In the names of Adyghe origin common elements are *pšʹə* ('prince') and *gʷašʹa* ('mistress/lady').

Among the formants of foreign origin, the following can be named: (a) the suffixal element *-ḡʹari//ḡʹeri //ḡʹerej//kʹeri //kʹari*, from Crimean Tatar *girej*

¹ Ultimately from Persian *āznāvar* 'noble'.

(of Mongolian or Greek origin), cf. *Alem-gjerí//Alem-kjerí*, *Alim-girej*, **Qrəm-Gjərej*, *Saat-Girej*, *Khas-kerə* and others; (b) the Turkic word *bij//bi//bej* ‘master’ (*Bi-Arslan*, *Meysûr-bí*, *Skʷər-báj*, *Wərəs-bij*, *Hafəz-bej*, *Halil-bej*); (c) the Turkic word *bek* ‘lord’ (*Islam-bek*, *Zaur-bek*), or its phonetic variant *-bačʼ* (*Qalbačʼ*), from Adyghe *bečʼ*, going back to the same Turkic source; (d) the Persian word *mirza*, from *emir-zade* ‘son of the emir’ (*Devlet Mirza*, *Bat-Mərza*, *Berko Mirza*); (e) the Turkic word *χan* ‘khan’ (*Mirza-han*); (f) the Arabic word *haji* ‘who had made the Hajj pilgrimage to Mecca’, which became part of the names (*Hažʼə Apik*, *Hažʼə Bej*, *Hažʼə Hasán*, *Hažʼə Murat*).

2.6 *Patronyms*

Some large Ubykh families had patronymic branches based on the name of a common ancestor. Thus, the numerous noble clan of the Berzegs (Ubykh *Barzági*), which in the late 1830s consisted of 400 households (Lavrov 2009: 65–66, 72), had four patronymic branches: *Emin*, *Degʷ*, *Babəkʷ* and *Qʼanbulat* (cf. Xafizova 2010: 76; Chirikba 2015: 455–456). There is information about another patronymic line of the Berzegs—*Qʼamlat*, in Turkish transcription—*Kamlat* (Berzeg 2013: 175).

2.7 *Children’s Names and Nicknames*

Children were usually given nicknames based on traditional children’s names, random or onomatopoeic words, that were used in a close family circle or in a circle of friends, sometimes throughout a person’s life. For example, a child with gray eyes could be called in the family circle *Našχʷa*, i.e., ‘gray-eyed’ (from Adyghe). Diminutive forms of names could be formed by adding the diminutive suffix *-šʷ(ə)*.

2.8 *Social Status of Names*

Social relations within traditional feudal Ubykh society were also reflected in the choice of names: certain names were usually given to representatives of the nobility, others to people outside of the privileged circles. Names that were traditionally given to the nobility were often supplied with the formants *-gʼərej*, *-bej//bij*, *-bek*, e.g. *Alim-gʼərej*, *Saat-gʼərej*, *Wərəs-bij*, *Hafəz-bej*, *Halil-bej*, *Islam-bek*, *Zaur-bek*.

Other higher-class names included the Adyghe word *pšʼə* ‘prince’: *Pšʼə-ma:f* (Adyghe ‘prince-happy’), *Pšʼə-γʷəs* (Adyghe ‘prince-companion’). Although the name *Pšʼə-qʼan* belongs to the same pattern, phonetically it indicates not Adyghe (cf. Adyghe *Pšʼə-qan*), but rather an Abkhazian source (Abkhaz *Pšʼəqʼan*), coming from old Kabardian **Pšʼə-qʼan* ‘prince-raised by’ (cf. modern Kabardian *Pəqʼan*).

A special privileged group consisted of people who made the pilgrimage to Mecca (*hajj*), after which the Arabic formant *haji* joined their names: *Haž'ə Hasan*, *Haž'ə Hüseyin*, *Haž'ə Jak'əp*.

2.9 *Taboo*

Just like Abkhazian and Circassian, Ubykh spouses were forbidden to address each other by name in public. Instead, various substitute words or vocatives were used. Thus, for example, in one Ubykh family in the Sapanca district, the wife used the vocative *wapš'ə!* when addressing her husband (vocative interjection *wa* + Adyghe *pš'ə* 'prince', i.e., 'oh, prince!').² According to Meral *Č'əzaməb'ə* (a native of the Ubykh village of Haji-Osman köyü), spouses could use any interjection when addressing each other in public, for example, *hey!*³ However, in recent years among young people the prohibitions on spouses publicly addressing each other by name has a tendency to be no longer observed.

When referring to her husband in public, Meral's mother used to say 'Meral's father' instead of calling his name. If the name of the daughter-in-law coincided with the name of the eldest woman in the husband's family (for example, of the mother or the grandmother), then the daughter-in-law was given a new name that was used by family members. If the child carried the same name as the father-in-law or the mother-in-law, then the daughter-in-law used a different name when publicly referring to, or addressing, the child.

The daughter-in-law did not have the right to talk in the presence of older men from her husband's family. Nor could she mention or call them by name, using various words or nicknames (in Ubykh or Adyghe) as necessary.

3 Ubykh Surnames

There are several sources for studying Ubykh surnames. Firstly, there are various historical documents (mainly 19th-century Russian), including archival ones, in which certain surnames are mentioned. Secondly, there are surnames contained in published Ubykh texts and dictionaries. The third source for this article was the material collected during my fieldwork in Turkey for the period of 1991–2010, especially during the two Ubykh expeditions undertaken in 2009 and 2010.

A number of Ubykh surnames are contained in the Ubykh grammar by Mészáros (1934). A list of surnames can be found in the Ubykh-French dictio-

2 Communicated to the author by *Engin Hamte Oz*.

3 Meral *Č'əzaməb'ə* (Çare), personal communication.

nary by Hans Vogt (Vogt 1963: 235–236). Information about the Ubykh surnames is scattered in numerous works by Georges Dumézil. Certain Ubykh anthroponymic material can be gleaned from the works by the Turkish Ubykh writer Sefer Berzeg (see Berzeg 1998; idem 2013). Many Ubykh anthroponyms contained in Russian documents and historical publications are given in L. Lavrov’s 1937 monograph “The Ubykhs”, published only in 2009. Abundant anthroponymic material is found in the recent book by M. Kishmakhov “The Problems of Ethnic History and Culture of the Ubykhs” (2012), but it needs further analysis: a number of surnames given by the author can hardly be considered Ubykh; furthermore, the sources of the cited names are not indicated. The Ubykh onomastic material is contained in the monograph by Sh. Inal-ıpa “The Ubykhs” (2015). The result of my research into Ubykh anthroponymy was “The Dictionary of Ubykh Surnames” (Chirikba 2015: 447–483).

Several families originating from Ubykhia survive in the Western Caucasus. Such, for example, is the family name *Čjéren*, whose representatives live in the Lazarevsky district of Greater Sochi. In Adyghea, this is apparently the surname *Berzeg*, in the Russified form *Berzegov*. In Abkhazia, similar to the Ubykh ones are such surnames as *Ebž'nów*, *Xamát*, *Či(ə)rág* (*Č'rág-ba*).

3.1 *Ubykh Family Names in Combination with First Names and Patronyms*

Like the related Abkhazians and Circassians, traditionally the Ubykhs had a two-member naming structure, usually consisting of a surname and a post-posed first name. Examples: *Şhaplı* [ʃɬɬapɫə]⁴ *Muhammad* (Berzeg 1998: 64), *Təγw* [Təɣwʷa] *Fuad* (Berzeg 1998: 65, 92), *Merčján* [Marčján] *Şéγə* (Dumézil/Namitok 1955: 30), *Gʷəzʷ Fehim* (Dumézil/Esenç 1981: 17), *Kʷamčʷjə Selim* (ibid.), *Wánžə Kjarəmášʷ* (ibid.: 21), *Wánžə Aziz* (ibid.: 26), *Xʷənzjə Şefket* (ibid.: 26), *Zeúz Tosnakúl* (Bell 1840: 11/3),⁵ *Aubla-Ahmet* (Tatlok 1959: 104). This model was already noted by the English author of the first half of the XIX century James Stanislaus Bell (1840: 1/436): “When one is specifically mentioned, the Circassians generally prefix the name of his fraternity to his own name”.

A variation of this structure is the one consisting of a preposed family name and two or more personal names (surname + first name + first name (+ first name)): *Şhaplı Osman Ferid*, *Berzeg Mehmet Zeki*, *Şhaplı Hamza Osman Erkan* (cf. Berzeg 1998: 67, 70, 93).

4 Adyghe *s/ha-pɫə* ‘head-red’.

5 Lavrov (2009: 64) corrects to Sostangul Dzepsh (Dzeysh), i.e., *Sostankul Zájšʷa*.

The structure with the preposing of the first name plus the surname is common when reading anthroponymic material in the works by Russian authors. Examples: *Ali Ahmet Oblagu* (Tornau 1976: 106), *Dzhembulat Berzek* [Barzáǰj], *Omar Chizemogua* [Čǰəzaməɣʷa], *Hassan Bey Dije* [Dəzǰá], *Biarслан Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 45, 65, 72, 79, 111), etc.

J.S. Bell (1840: 11/88, 378) records a *three-member* structure composed of a surname, plus patronymic ('the son of X'), plus first name: *Barzek Alkhaz-okú Beislam* [Barzáǰj Alyǰas-a-qʷa Bejislam]; *Zeús Hússein-okú Osman* [Zájsʷa Xʷəséjn-a-qʷa Osman]. The same elements are presented in the book by L. Lavrov in the form of "name + patronymic + surname", which seems to be due to the corresponding Russian model: *Osman Husseinoko Dzepep* (Lavrov 2009: 64), *Biarслан Alhasoko Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 111), *Elbuz Hapeshuko Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 72). This structure was complicated by prefixing the word *haǰǰá*, which marked persons who made the pilgrimage to Mecca: *Haǰǰá-Alim-Giray-Babuko Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 72), *Haǰǰá-Kerenduk-Dogomuko⁶ Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 68). Other models presented by Lavrov are the two-member structures "patronymic + first name", or the three-member "patronymic + first name + surname": *Sheulehuko-Edik*, *Sheueyuko-Matu*, *Sheulehuko-Amschuk Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 72).

Some Ubykh names, patronyms and surnames came to Russian sources through the intermediary of their Abkhazian guides or interpreters, which explains the presence of the Abkhazian patronymic element *jə-pá* 'his son' and the Abkhazian variants of Ubykh names, cf. *Ismail Bej Barakaj-jəpa* (Lavrov 2009: 64), *Saat-Giraj Adagua-jəpa Berzek* (Lavrov 2009: 104). *Adagʷa-jəpa* (lit. 'the son of the deaf') is the Abkhazian variant (or interpretation) of the Ubykh patronym *Dagʷəmə-qʷa* (*qʷa* 'son').

Ubykh patronyms, depending on the sex of the owner, are formed according to the model "father's name + *a-* + *qʷa* 'son'" (if talking about a male) or "father's name + *-a-* + *pχʰa* 'daughter'" (if talking about a female), e.g. *Tawfəki-á-qʷa* 'son of Təvfik' (Dumézil/Esenc 1973: 33), *Mezála:qʷa* [*Mezála+a+qʷa*] *Sefer* (Dumézil/Esenc 1973: 33; eidem 1981: 17).

The element *-a-* present in these models is a relic affix of the Ubykh 3rd person possessive (its more usual variant is *γa-*). In addition to patronymic formations, it is found also in postpositions, cf. *a-janəzǰ-na a-la:qə* 'article-giant-pl. their-toward = towards the giants'. In Abkhaz, this Ubykh affix corresponds to the 3rd sg. possessive prefix of the non-human class *a-* (e.g., *a-lá a-χǰ* 'article-dog its-head = dog's head').

6 The *-ko* element in these patronyms is Ubykh *qʷa* 'son'.

Surnames are also attached by patronymic suffixes according to the models (a) “surname/clan name + *a* ‘his’ + *q^wa* ‘son’”, or (b) “surname/clan name + *a* ‘her’ + *pχⁱa* ‘daughter’”. Cf.:

- (a) *Xjət'a:-q^wa* [*Xjət'a-a-q^wa*] *Ibrahim* ‘Ibrahim (from the clan) Khita’ (Dumézil/Esenç 1981: 15), *Čjərgj'áχ^w* [*< Čjərgj-á-q^wa*] ‘man of the clan Chyr(y)g’. Cf. also forms without the infix -a-: *Dowbə-q^w* ‘man of the clan Douby’, *Ace-qó* (*< Ace-q^wá*).
- (b) *T^wəba:pχⁱa* [*T^wəba-a-pχⁱa*] *Habibe* (Dumézil/Esenç 1981: 23) ‘Habibe of the clan Tuba’, *Dečjenapχ* [*< Dečjen-a-pχⁱa*] ‘a female of the Dechen clan’, *Wonžj'apχ* [*Wonžj'a-a-pχⁱa*] *Nazmiye* ‘Nazmiye of the Wondzha clan’, *Čjərgj-áp* [*< Čjərgj-a-pχⁱa*] ‘a female from the clan Chyr(y)g’,⁷ *Dowb-ápχ* [*< *Dowb-a-pχⁱa*] ‘a female of the Douby clan’.

In the speech of modern Ubykhs, who have lost their native tongue, the male patronymic suffix in the composition of surnames is pronounced as *-aχ^w < a-q^wa* ‘his son’ (e.g., *Čjərgj'áχ^w < Čjərgj-á-q^wa*), and female suffix—as *-apχ < -a-pχⁱa* ‘his daughter’ (e.g., *Dəžj-ápχ < Dəžjə-á-pχⁱa* ‘female of the clan Dyzy’), or even *-ap* (*Čjərgj-áp < Čjərgj-á-pχⁱa* ‘female from the clan Čjə(ə)g’). Sometimes the “female” suffix *-pχ^w* is used, going back to Adyghe *pχ^wə* ‘daughter’, e.g. *Hamt'-á-pχ^w* ‘a female of the Hamta clan’. For married women, they could add Turkish *hanım* ‘madam’: *Dəžj-á+pχ-hanım Makbulye* ‘Madam Makbulye of the Dyzhy family’.

Finally, there is the following model for the presentation of the family name: “surname + *-na:nk'ia(-n)* ‘of them’ + patronym + first name”. Examples: *Čjəw-na:nk'ian Mezáł'a:q^wa Sefer* (DE 17) ‘Sefer, son of Mezytla, from the family Chyw’, *X^wənzj'á-na:nk'ia Žiemilj* (Dumézil/Esenç 1981: 18) ‘Djemil of the Khundzha clan’, *K'amčj'ə-na:nk'ian Selim yap'c'anə*⁸ (ibid.: 23) ‘one named Selim of the Kiamchy clan’, *Čjəw-na:nk'ian Alqás yap'c'anə* (ibid.: 24) ‘one named Alkhas of the Chyw clan’, *Dəγ^wə-na:nk'ian Zakarija yap'c'anə* (ibid.: 26) ‘one named Zakariya of the Dyghu clan’, *Čjəw-na:nk'ian Mezáł' aq'agj'ə*⁹ (ibid.: 27) ‘one called Mezytl of the Chyw clan’.

7 Originally from Çavuşköy, she was married in Hacı Yakup and died in the 1960s; the name was recorded by me in Hacı Yakup in June 2009.

8 Ubykh *ya-p'c'a-nə* ‘his-name-being’, i.e., ‘called’.

9 Ubykh *a-q'a-gj'ə* ‘speaking’.

Transcription

In this paper, for citing the Caucasian linguistic material I use the standard Caucasian transcription based on roman graphics (as, for example, in the Ubykh-French dictionary by H. Vogt), with the exception that instead of the second sign (') I use the sign ^j to indicate palatalization; (automatic) aspiration is not marked, whilst glottalization is marked by '. An asterisk (*) indicates reconstructed or proposed forms. By the sign ^ɕ I mark pharyngealization.

Acknowledgment

I want to thank Prof. B.G. Hewitt for reading the manuscript of this paper and for correcting my English.

Bibliography

- Bell, J.S. (1840), *Journal of a residence in Circassia during the years 1837, 1838, and 1839*, vol. I, II, London: Edward Moxon.
- Berzeg, S.E. (1998), *Soçi'nin sürgündeki sahipleri Çerkes-Vubıhlar*, Ankara.
- Berzeg, S.E. (2013), *Çerkes—Vubıh'lar. Soçi'nin İnsanları (Portretler). Kafkasya'nın işgalinin 150'nci yılında Soçi'nin sürgündeki sahiplerinden bir kesit 1864–2014*, Kafkasya-Soçi Yöresi Araştırmaları: 2. Ankara: Kuban Matbaacılık Yayıncılık.
- Bifov, E.R. (2017), "K ubyxskomu dialektu čerkesskogo (adygskogo) yazyka i ego strukturnomu opisaniyu", *Vestnik nauki Adygejskogo respublikanskogo instituta gumanitarnyx issledovanij imeni T.M. Kerasheva* №12 (36), Maykop: 50–65.
- Chirikba, V.A. (2015), "Ubyxskie étyudy", *Ubyxi. Istoriko-étnografičeskie očerki*, Suxum: 394–559.
- Chirikba, V.A. (2016), "Ubyxskie étyudy. iv. Slovar' ubyxsikix ličnyx imen", *Arxeologija i étnografija pontijsko-kavkazskogo regiona. Sbornik naučnyx trudov*, Vypusk 5, Krasnodar: Kubanskij gosudarstvennyj universitet: 113–170.
- Dumézil, G. (1965), *Documents Anatoliens sur les langues et les traditions du Caucase III. Nouvelles études Oubykh. 1. Notes pour un centenaire. 2. Texts. 3. Révision du Dictionnaire de H. Vogt*, Paris: Institut d'Ethnologie.
- Dumézil, G./Esenç, T. (1973), "Notes d'étymologie et de vocabulaire sur les langues caucasique du Nord-Ouest (4–6)", *Bedi Kartlisa, revue de kartvélogie. Études géorgiennes et caucasiennes XXXI*: 24–35.
- Dumézil, G./Esenç, T. (1981), "Petit chroniques des villages oubykhs", *Bedi Kartlisa, revue de kartvélogie. Études géorgiennes et caucasiennes XXXIX*: 15–29.

- Dumézil, G./Namitok, A. (1955), "Récits Oubykh I", *Journal Asiatique*, vol. CCXLIII/1: 1–47.
- Inal-İpa, Sh.D. (2015), *Ubyxi. Istoriko-étnografičeskie očerki*, Suxum.
- Kišmaxov, M.H.-B. (2012), *Problemy étničeskoj istorii i kul'tury ubykov*, Suxum-Karačaevsk.
- Landmann, A. (1981), *Akıfyje-Büyükçamurlu. Ubychen-Dörfer in der Südost-Türkei; Untersuchungen zu Partnerwahl und Hochzeitsbrauchtum* (Ethnographie der Tscherkessen, 2), Heidelberg: Esprint Verlag.
- Lavrov, L.I. (2009), *Ubyxi. Istoriko-étnografičeskaja monografija*, Sankt-Peterburg: Nauka.
- von Mészáros, J. [Gyula] (1934), *Die Päkhy-Sprache (The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago. Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilisations, no. 9)*, Illinois: The University of Chicago Press.
- Smeets, R. (1988), "On Ubykh Circassian", F. Thordarson (ed.), *Studia Caucasologica 1. Proceedings of the Third Caucasian Colloquium, Oslo, July 1986*, Oslo: Norwegian University Press: 275–297.
- Tatlok, T. (1959), "The Ubykhs", *Caucasian Review* 7, München: 100–109.
- Tornau F. (1976), "Opisanie vostočnoj časti berega Černogo morja ot r. Bzybi do r. Saše 25 nojabrja 1835 g.", *F.F. Tornau i ego kavkazskie materialy XIX veka*, Moskva: Nauka: 99–109.
- Vogt, H. (1963), *Dictionnaire de la langue oubykh. Avec introduction phonologique, index français-oubykh, textes oubykhs*, Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning, Série B.
- Xafizova, M.G. (2010), *Ubyxi: ušedšie vo imja svobody*, Nač'ik: Izdatel'stvo M. i V. Kotljarovyx.